Tips for:

Writing Conference Abstracts

copyright © 2004-2007 by Mary Bucholtz, University of California, Santa Barbara

When to submit an abstract

You should submit an abstract to a conference when you have (1) data and (2) an analysis of your data. You should not submit an abstract in the early stages of your data collection, and you should never submit an abstract if you have not started your study! However, you do not need to have your study fully completed by the time you submit the abstract; a solid preliminary analysis can benefit from conference presentation. Your analysis should be as polished as you can make it, but probably the feedback you receive at the conference will lead to some revision. Typically, the conference paper is the first step to publication. It is not expected that you will have written anything up related to your study by the time you submit the abstract, although the more you have written the easier it will be to write the abstract. In some cases, you may want to submit an abstract for work you have already written up and submitted for publication. As long as the paper has not been published by the time you submit the abstract, it's acceptable to do so, and it will provide some publicity for your forthcoming publication (which you should mention in your presentation so those who are interested will know where to look for it).

Where to submit an abstract

Contrary to what many students think, it's perfectly acceptable to present the same research at more than one conference. Different audiences will give you different kinds of feedback. It's recommended, however, that you frame each paper a bit differently to match the focus of each conference and change the title to reflect this reframing.

How to select a topic

Typically conference talks are only 15 to 20 minutes in length. You cannot present your entire dissertation or even an entire research article. You should select a small sample of data that makes a single point; for discourse data choose either one long stretch of data or several smaller excerpts that offer interestingly different perspectives on your argument.

Title

Select a clear, informative title that contains all the key elements of your presentation (e.g., a key concept, the language or group under study, a general sense of your argument). Very short and very long titles are not recommended. Using a title and a subtitle separated by a colon is often a good way to maximize informativeness in a short space. It's easiest to choose a title after writing the abstract.

General format and style

Your abstract should single-spaced in an easy-to-read 12pt font (like Times). Try to come as close as possible to the word limit without going over. In writing the abstract, do not use the future tense, even to say "In my presentation, I will...." It sounds unnatural to use the present tense in this context, but if you use the future some abstract reviewers may think you haven't completed the research.

Be extremely precise and detailed about your argument and analysis. Never simply say "Results of the study will be discussed" or the equivalent; state what the results are and why they matter.

How to structure the abstract

Abstracts are quite formulaic in structure, although there is a lot of variation. Here's one tried-and-true structure. Each of the sections may include one or more paragraphs.

Section 1

The main focus of the first paragraph or two should be a general statement about some issue in the field that your study contributes to. At this point you typically don't mention your study yet but stake out the part of the field that you're speaking to, and raise the issue that you'll be addressing (this sets the stage for you to present your research as the solution to a problem, or as a challenge to a claim made by another scholar). Don't just present a topic; frame the issue as a puzzle or problem or gap or weakness in the literature. This shows why your work is important. Also don't just say you're applying someone else's ideas; make clear what this application adds to knowledge. Alternatively, you can open with one sentence stating what the paper is about and then contextualize it with a general statement about how it connects to an issue in the field, but the first way is a bit more elegant.

Section 2

This should be the heart of the abstract. State here that your study offers a solution to the problem described in section 1 and how. Briefly give details about the study--where it was conducted and with whom (number and background of participants, sources of data), how long the study lasted and/or how much data was collected (e.g., hours of recordings). Then summarize your research findings. You should typically include a brief

example to illustrate your argument (this usually isn't possible if you are allotted less than 500 words for the abstract). Be sure to specify precisely how the example demonstrates your point. You should in any event include a detailed description of the results: specify your findings in detail (perhaps introduce key terms you use in the analysis).

NOTE: If your data are in a language other than English, use only the Roman alphabet or the International Phonetic Alphabet to represent them; do not use other writing systems. Be sure to provide translations (and morpheme-by-morpheme glosses, if relevant to the analysis).

Section 3

You now need to return the big picture: How do these findings address the issue raised in Section 1? What does this imply for the field? This discussion need not be lengthy, but it should convincingly convey that your research has significant implications.

References

You should cite a few references in the text (no more than 5 or so in a 500-word abstract) to show you know the field. Don't waste space with a long list; select the key references only. (Deciding who's key may partly depend on who's hosting the conference, the theme, the theoretical position of the conference, etc.) It's often best to cite at least one "classic" (i.e., canonical but not antique) reference and one "cutting-edge" recent reference. You should also cite anyone who centrally represents the issue you're discussing. If you cite yourself, do so in the third person so your anonymity is preserved. You should NOT include a bibliography; save the space for describing your study.

What happens next?

The abstract review process varies greatly by conference; some solicit outside reviews from special committees, smaller conferences use local graduate students and faculty. Often the abstract review committee is anonymous. A few conferences will send comments from reviewers about your abstract; this is very valuable information and you should request it if available.

You should receive notification of the acceptance or rejection of your abstract in a timely fashion; if the conference organizers do not announce a notification date, you should contact them to find out when to expect a reply. If you don't receive notification by the specified date, follow up right away! Your abstract may have fallen through the cracks, and it may not be too late for it to be reviewed.

<u>University of California, Santa Barbara</u> | <u>College of Letters and Science</u> | <u>Department of Linguistics</u>

For comments about this page, contact bucholtz@linguistics.ucsb.edu